Lichen planus (LP) is an inflammatory mucocutaneous disease characterised by shiny, flat-topped papules and plaques. It affects around 1% of the population. The disease is usually self-limiting and benign in nature. However, some varieties of LP may cause considerable discomfort and recalcitrant in nature. So, treatment depends on appreciating the type, severity and nature of the disease. There are a good numbers of options for treating different types of LP. Few types of LP are difficult to treat and needs aggressive treatment to prevent complications like hair or nail loss. Author examined and summarized the published literature involving the treatment or management of LP. It is found that the large scale, evidence based trials are only few in number. At present, it is quite difficult to standardisation of treatment. This review outlines the ongoing trial and current treatment options. It is needed to assimilation and summarization of the recent findings to treat the critical cases in a more effective way.

Introduction

Lichen planus is a distinctive entity in dermatology due to its characteristic violaceous, flat-topped papules with Wickham’s striae on the surfaces of lesions. It involves mucous membranes in a significant proportion of patients; only mucous membrane involvement is not uncommon. As a sole manifestation of LP, oral disease make up 15-35% of the patient group, but up to 65% of patients with classical cutaneous LP have concomitant oral disease. LP can affect the mucosal surfaces of the mouth, vagina, oesophagus, conjunctiva, urethra, anus, nose and larynx. Hair and nail involvement is also present but not common [1].

Histopathology

LP has distinct histopathological features [Fig.1]
- A band like cell infiltrate in upper dermis
- Basal cell damage. Mainly T cells are present in cell infiltrate.

Figure 1: Histopathology of Lichen planus

Prevalence

The prevalence of lichen planus (LP) is estimated at around 1%. Erasmus Wilson (1869) in his lecture notes mentioned that LP was an uncommon disease. He found only 51 cases among 10,000 of all types of skin diseases within a period of 5 years [2-4].

Pathogenesis

Pathogenesis of LP still remains elusive

There is now a general consensus that LP is an immunologically-mediated disease, where basal cell damage occurs due to a complex interaction between keratinocytes, Langerhan’s cells and T cells [5]. Drugs as causative agents or triggering factors of LP were considered in the 1920s. In 1929, Lortab-Jacob proposed that lichenoid eruption was secondary to arsenic used in the treatment of syphilis [6]. The importance of drugs became evident during World War II following development of large numbers of LP in servicemen taking antimalarial drugs [7]. The list of drugs, which are reported in the literature as causative agents of LP [8].

Table 1: The list of drugs, which are reported in the literature as causative agents of LDE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common inducer of LDE</th>
<th>Less common inducer of LDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Captopril</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyldopa</td>
<td>Naproxen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Adrenergic blocking agents</td>
<td>Dapsone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillamine</td>
<td>Arsenicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinidine</td>
<td>Iodides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The list of drugs, which are reported in the literature as causative agents of LDE</td>
<td>Phenothiazine derivatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Fluorouracil</td>
<td>Chloropropamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic antimalarial</td>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutol</td>
<td>Ca++ channel blocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide</td>
<td>Sulphonyl urea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirotonolate</td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiazide diuretics</td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbasaline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Associated conditions
The link between LP and chronic hepatitis C (HCV) continues to be investigated and debated. Chronic HCV infection has been reported in 20 to 38% of patients with oral and/or cutaneous LP, in a report while other studies do not substantiate any link [9,10]. The review from the Mayo clinic suggests that patients with either the onset or exacerbation of LP, should be assessed for HCV*

Treatment
LP is usually a self-limiting benign disease some form of the disease may persist for a long duration and may cause a range of morbidity. Treatment depends on appreciation of the following:
- Severity of symptoms.
- Natural course of the disease.
- Triggering factors
- Associated conditions
- Complications of disease.

In general, spontaneous remission of cutaneous LP after one year occurs in 64% to 68% of patients [11]. Cutaneous LP with involvement of mucous membrane [Fig.1] persists longer. The reported mean duration of oral LP is about 5 years, while the erosive form of oral LP may not spontaneously resolve.

Figure 1: Oral LP
Some variants of LP may cause considerable discomfort.

Figure 2: Hypertrophic LP
Hypertrophic LP [Fig.2] is more pruritic than the usual cutaneous LP.

Figure 3: Oral erosive LP
The erosive type of oral LP [Fig.3] is very painful and may cause difficulty in eating.

Figure 4: Lichen Planu-pilaris
Lichen plano-pilaris [Fig4] and lichen planus of nails [Fig.5] are important for their probable complications of scarring alopecia and loss of nails respectively.

Figure 5: Nail LP
More aggressive forms of treatment are needed for the following variants of LP---
- Erosive LP
- Hypertrophic LP
- Lichen plano-pilaris and
- LP of nails.
- LP in Genital Organ

Various drugs and physical treatments have been proposed. The development of new medications and different uses of older drugs gives patients with these diseases numerous therapeutic options. Since the time of Erasmus Wilson (1869), different forms of treatment of LP have been tried but none has proved to be curative. Wilson treated his patients with arsenic, bitters, quinine etc. Arsenic was regarded as a miracle drug in the past, but nowadays, its use is not supported by dermatologists. Standard therapy for LP includes topical and systemic steroids, retinoids and PUVA.

Many patients with uncomplicated diseases respond to these medications, those who do not improve may require different therapies. Avoidance of exacerbating drugs unless necessary, and minimizing trauma to skin and mucosal tissue are routinely recommended.

Corticosteroid
Corticosteroids remain the most widely used treatment in LP. They have been found to be effective in both cutaneous and oral lichen planus. Systemic, intralesional and topical steroids including creams, ointments and pessaries have been used. Prednisolone has been found to have good results in the treatment of LP and may result in sustained remission after successful tapering of the dosage. A prednisolone dosage of 30-60mg/day is recommended. The mean
duration of treatment is 6 weeks [12]. Different dosage regimens have also been proposed. Prednisone 5-10mg/day for 3 to 5 weeks was successful in 3 cases of LP of nails [13].

Megadoses of methylprednisone (1gm intravenously on 3 consecutive days monthly) resulted in a favourable response in a patient with severe resistant LP of skin, genitalia and scalp [14]. In one study, 38 patients with cutaneous LP were treated by either prednisone 30mg/day or placebo; the median time for LP to clear was 18 weeks in the corticosteroid group and 29 weeks in the placebo group [15]. In one study, a Prednisolone dosage of 10 to 50mg/day was successfully used in erosive oral LP [16].

Tapering of dosage or withdrawal of the drugs can cause relapse or flare up of disease. In such cases, addition of other drugs may be helpful. Camisa, et al. reported the beneficial effect of dapsone in controlling disease, which relapsed on lowering of the dose of prednisolone [17]. Acitretin has been used in association with steroids to bring the disease into remission [18].

**Topical Steroids**
Topical corticosteroids are widely used drugs in the treatment of cutaneous LP. But surprisingly only few clinical trials are available in the medical literature regarding use of them in cutaneous LP. However some good reports have been published on treatment of oral LP. In one study, flucinolone in an adhesive base, showed good response, compared with placebo group. The efficacy of 0.1% flucinolone acetonide was tested against that of 0.1% triamcinolone acetonide to treat oral LP in one study [19]. Flucinolone was found to be more effective than triamcinolone [20]. It has also been reported that betamethasone valerate is also effective in treatment of oral LP [21].

**Intralesional Steroid**
Intra dermal injection of triamcinolone acetonide is sometimes helpful in certain variants of LP. It has been reported that 5 mg/ml intradermal injection in the posterior nail fold, given 3 times at an interval of 2 to 4 weeks in 11 patients with LP of the nails resulted in improvement in 7 of them [22].

**Retinoids**
According to some authors, retinoids are the first line therapy for treatment of LP. Acitretin has shown a relatively good efficacy in the treatment of LP [23]. A double blind vs. placebo trial was carried out on 65 patients with cutaneous LP [24]. Treatment consisting of 30 mg of acitretin per day for 8 weeks resulted in a good response in 64% of patients, in contrast to 13% in placebo group. Both topical 0.1% tretinoin and 0.1% isotretinoin have been successfully used in the treatment of oral LP but not cutaneous disease [25]. However, after withdrawal of systemic or topical retinoids, recurrence is common [25].

**Cyclosporine**
Oral cyclosporine has been used in some uncontrolled clinical trials in severe cutaneous LP resistant to other treatment. In one study, a complete response was obtained with doses ranging from 1 to 6 mg/kg/day without relapse during several months of follow-up [26]. Pruritus disappeared after 1 to 2 weeks of treatment and clearance of the rashes was noted in a mean time of 6 weeks. Due to toxic effects of systemic cyclosporine, local forms of cyclosporine have been tried. Cyclosporine under occlusion has been efficacious for genital and hypertrophic lesions. Cyclosporine (500 mg) rinses for 5 min each day were helpful in oral lichen planus, without significant side effects [27]. However, topical cyclosporine is very expensive and may only be justified in recalcitrant cases.

**Photochemotherapy**
Psoralen ultra-violet A (PUVA) photo chemotherapy is usually successful in generalised cutaneous LP. A cure rate of 75% was reported in one open study after 8 weeks of treatment. It has also been shown that bath PUVA therapy is effective in treatment of LP; 11 of 12 patients with resistant LP were cured or significantly improved with bath PUVA therapy [28]. Patients with widespread LP may respond to narrowband or broadband UV-B Therapy [29].

**Griseofulvin**
The polyene antifungal griseofulvin has been used for treatment of LP. In one study, 86% of the patients had complete disappearance of lesions after 3 of 6 months of treatment [30]. In another study, griseofulvin was not found to be an agent producing clinical relief [31].

**Metronidazole**
Oral metronidazole has been tried for treatment of LP for long. A complete response or significant improvement (78.9%) of generalised LP was observed with metronidazole 500mg twice daily for 20 to 60 days [32].

**Tacrolimus**
Therapy with 0.1% topical tacrolimus ointment twice daily for one month led to complete resolution of labial ulceration. Intraoral ulceration also resolved after 3 months of daily application. Both maintained in remission after one year without maintenance therapy [33]. More recently, a dramatic response was observed in 2 cases of severe recalcitrant erosive oral LP within 4 weeks of topical tacrolimus treatment [34].

**Aloe Vera Gel**
In a randomized controlled trial, aloe vera gel was found effective in the treatment of oral LP. It is also effective in genital LP [35].

**Laser**
Carbon-dioxide laser evaporation was successfully tried in one study in treatment of painful oral lichen planus where topical steroids were unsuccessful [36]. In another study oral lichen planus was successfully treated by using 308-nm excimer laser [37].

**Photodynamic Therapy**
Recently, the use of Photodynamic therapy (PDT) has been expanding due to its numerous advantages. Five clinical studies are found in medical literatures regarding treatment of oral LP by PDT. The studies revealed that it is quite effective in the treatment of oral LP [38].

**Sulfasalazine**
A randomised double bind study revealed that sulfasalazine at a dose of 2.5 g/day for 6 weeks was very effective in generalized LP [39]. It showed significant improvement in lesions (78%) and pruritus (79%) in patients of generalized LP.

**Apremilast**
Apremilast may be effective in treatment for Erosive oral LP but double - blinded controlled trials are lacking [40].
Methotrexate
Oral methotrexate has been found to be useful in treatment of generalized LP in a recent study [41].

Antimalarial Drugs
It is reported that oral erosive lichen planus was successfully treated by antimalarial drugs [42].

Mycophenolate Mofetil
In a recent report, erosive genital lichen planus was successfully managed with mycophenolate mofetil [43].

Rituximab
Esophageal lichen planus (ELP) is a rare condition with unknown prevalence that can sometimes be underestimated due to the subtle and nonspecific findings. Rituximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody, was successfully treated in a case of ELP [44].

Author’s observation
Several author tried to standardized the treatment of LP. But it is still a difficult task.
After analysing the recent search in medical literature following recommendations are proposed:

Conclusion
Lichen planus comprises an important aspect of dermatology. This disease has many clinical types and varied outcome with a numbers of complications. Treatment depends on clinical types and extent of complications. In the medical literature, there are numbers of clinical trials and reports, but a good numbers of standard trials are absent. In this paper, there was an initiative to assimilate and summarize the necessary data regarding treatment from the medical literature. But it is very difficult task. The lot of research works are needed for standardization of treatment
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3. In India, the prevalence of LP varied slightly in different study. In one report, it was 0.76%* but in another report it was 1.4%(3).